4-25-05



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/607,698 | 06/27/2003 | M. Benton Free | 58399US002 | 7675 |
| 20311 | 7590 04/18/ 200 5 | | EXAMI | NER |
| | N. LUCAS AND MEI | RCANTI, LLP | PARKER, FRED | ERICK JOHN |
| 15TH PLOOR | VENUE SOUTH | ACEL WED | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10016 | | 1762 | |
| | | APR 2 0 2005 | DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005 | i |
| 7000 2 | | | | |
| * | | MUSERLIAN, LUCAS AND MERCANTI LLP | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| (IPE) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------|
| | Application | ı No. | Applicant(s) | |
| APR 2 1 200 | 10/607,698 | 3 | FREE ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| HAUE | Frederick J | . Parker | 1762 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicat | ion appears on the | cover sheet with the c | correspondence ad | ldress |
| Period for Reply | DEDLY IS SET TO | SEVELE A MONTH | 'S) EDOM | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dath if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ys, a reply within the statur y period will apply and will by statute. cause the appli | at, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE | nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | iy. ommunication. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o | n | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)[| oxtimes This action is no | n-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for | | | | e merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice t | ınder <i>Ex parte Qua</i> | yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the appl | ication. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v | vithdrawn from con | sideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) 25-28 is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-16 and 18-24</u> is/are reject | cted. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 4 and 17 is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | n and/or election re | quirement. | | • |
| Application Papers | | | | . * |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/ | 'are: a)⊠ accepte | d or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | n to the drawing(s) be | held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | • | | • | ` ' |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Not | e the attached Office | Action or form P | ΓO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | cuments have been | received | , | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | | | ion No | |
| 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the | | | | Stage |
| application from the International | | | | - |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | or a list of the certifi | ed copies not receive | ed. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | _ | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC |)/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | | O-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-22/03;11/5/04</u> . | | 6) | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) | Office Action Summar | , Pe | art of Paper No./Mail D | ate 20050413 |

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title is too generic.

Claim Objections

2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 12-29 been renumbered 11-28, respectively.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1762

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-2,5-15, 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haubrich et al US 2003/0203101 in view of Lemelson US 5866195.

Haubrich et al teaches forming patterned structures on a substrate to form electrophoretic displays, circuits, etc. The process steps comprise printing on the substrate a strippable polymer-based maskant material which represents the desired pattern, depositing on the patterned substrate a conductive metal which is substrate adherent; and removing the strippable material with conductive material thereon by means including mechanical (physical stripping/ adhesive tape peeling, [0043]. It is the Examiner's position that this would have reasonably suggested other mechanical/ physical means well-known to remove coatings such as impact/ media blasting. The process leaves conductive material on surfaces where the strippable maskant was NOT present, and vice-versa [0029]. The strippable maskant polymer pattern is applied by printing methods such as screen printing, ink jet, gravure, etc [0018]. The method provides the benefit of a simpler, cleaner method than photolithography or etching to selectively form patterned surfaces. Applying a second, substrate adherent polymer rather than a metal to the patterned substrate surface is not taught. However, Lemelson teaches that conductive polymers

Art Unit: 1762

may be used for circuitry and other electronic applications, and may be applied to substrates by dip or roller coating, etc [col. 21, 39-51], and further col. 22, 19-25 teaches the equivalence of such conductive polymers with metals and semiconductors, and the replacement of such conventional materials by the conductive polymers, because of the expectation of equivalent electrical conduction. Per claim 2, the strippable maskant polymer necessarily has a lower surface energy than the substrate adherent polymer to allow its removal while maintaining the conductive polymer on the substrate. Thus, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Haubrich et al by substituting the conductive polymers of Lemelson for the metals of the conductive layer of Haubrich et al because of the expectation of forming patterned conductive articles for electronic applications, wherein the conductive polymers substituted for the conductive metals would have reasonably provided equivalent performance.

As to claims 5-8, 18-22, the dimensions and height of the polymer would have been determined by the skilled artisan using routine experimentation for any desired end-use application.

7. Claims 3,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haubrich et al US 2003/0203101 in view of Lemelson US 5866195 and further in view of Laubacher et al US 5759625.

Haubrich et al and Lemelson are cited for the same reasons previously discussed, which are incorporated herein. A fluoropolymer-based maskant material is not cited.

Laubacher et al teaches on column 1, 43-50 that amorphous fluoropolymers have a "smooth, non-stick character" which resists adherence to other polymers, properties which would make the

Art Unit: 1762

fluorocarbon polymer beneficial as the strippable polymer-based maskant of Haubrich et al.

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Haubrich et al in view of Lemelson by utilizing the fluorocarbon polymer materials of Laubacher et al as the strippable maskant because of the low adhesion properties of the fluoropolymer materials, which would make them readily strippable.

8. Claims 4,17,25-28 distinguish over the prior art which does not teach nor suggest to apply a continuous substrate-adherent polymer comprising a polyamide. Dependant claims 4,17 are objected to for depending from a rejected base claim. Claims 25-28 are allowed. All claim numbers refer to renumbered claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick J. Parker whose telephone number is 571/272-1426.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:15am -3:45pm, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meeks Timothy can be reached on 571/272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/607,698

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762

fjp

Notice of References Cited

| Application/Control No. 10/607,698 | Reexamination | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination FREE ET AL. | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Frederick J. Parker | 1762 | Page 1 of 1 | | |

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Α | US-5,759,625 | 06-1998 | Laubacher et al. | 427/264 |
| | В | US-5,866,195 | 02-1999 | Lemelson, Jerome H. | 427/62 |
| | С | US-2003/0203101 | 10-2003 | Haubrich et al. | 427/96 |
| | D | US- | | | |
| | E | US- | | · | * |
| | F | US- | | | |
| | G | US- | | | |
| | Н | US- | | | |
| | - | US- | | | |
| | J | US- | | | |
| | Ķ | US- | | E | |
| | L | US- | | | |
| · | М | US- | | | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Country | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|---------|------|----------------|
| | N | | | | | |
| | Ō | | | | | |
| | Р | | | | | |
| | Q | | | | | |
| | R | | | | | |
| | s | | | | | |
| | T | | | | | |

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) |
|---|---|---|
| | U | |
| | ٧ | |
| | w | |
| | х | |

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

| Substitute for form 1449A/PTO (modified) | Application Number | 10/607698 | AIP E |
|---|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| MISORMATION DISCLOSURE | Filing Date | June 27, 2003 | 79 5 |
| INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICATION | First Named Inventor | Free, M. Benton | APR 2 1 2005 |
| / • | 12Art Unit | | TO TRADENIE |
| (Use as many sheets as necessary) | Examiner Name | | J I I I |
| | Attorney Case Number | 58399US002 | |

| L | | | & TRADENDE | <u>-</u> L | | | |
|-------|------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--|----------------|--|
| | | • | | Documents | | | |
| Exam. | Cite | Document Number | Publication Date or Issue Date | Name of Patentee | Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant | | |
| Init* | No. | No. | Doc. Number-(Kind Code if Known) | MIM-DD-YYYY | or Applicant of Cited Document | Figures Appear | |
| W | A1 | US- 2,532,011 | 11/28/1950 | Dahlquist et al | · | | |
| | A2 | US- 2,607,711 | 08/19/1952 | Hendricks | | | |
| | A3 | US- 3,318,852 | 05/09/1967 | Dixon | | | |
| | A4 | US- 3,502,497 | 03/24/1970 | Crocker | | | |
| | A5 | US- 3,931,454 | 01/06/1976 | Sprengling | | | |
| | A6 | US- 4,241,198 | 12/23/1980 | Kobayashi | | | |
| | A7 | US- 4,728,571 | 03/01/1988 | Clemens et al | | | |
| | A8 | US- 5,032,460 | 07/16/1991 | Kantner et al | | | |
| | A9 | US- 5,104,711 | 04/14/1992 | Marsek | | | |
| | A10 | US- 5,121,134 | 06/09/1992 | Albinson et al | | | |
| | A11 | US- 5,145,717 | 09/08/1992 | Drury | | | |
| | A12 | US- 5,165,962 | 11/24/1992 | Daly | | | |
| | A13 | US- 5,202,190 | 04/13/1993 | Kantner et al | | | |
| | A14 | US- 5,214,119 | 05/25/1993 | Leir et al | \ | | |
| | A15 | US- 5,290,615 | 03/01/1994 | Tushaus et al | | | |
| | A16. | US- 5,356,706 | 10/18/1994 | Shores | | | |
| | A17 | US- 5,468,324 | 11/21/1995 | Hong | | | |
| | A18 | US- 5,658,469 | 08/19/1997 | Jennison | | | |
| 7 | A19 | US- 5,750,630 | 05/12/1998 | Sengupta | | | |
| | A20 | US- 5,759,625 | 06/02/1998 | Laubacher et al | | | |
| | A21 | US- 6,300,042 B1 | 10/09/2001 | Mancini et al | | | |
| | A22 | US- 6,329,227 B2 | 12/11/2001 | Anda et al | | | |
| | A23 | US- 6,352,758 B1 | 03/05/2002 | Huang et al | | | |
| | A24 | US- 6,498,114 B1 | 12/24/2002 | Amundson et al | | | |
| W | A25 | US- 6,559,474 B1 | 05/06/2003 | Craighead et al | | | |

| *Examiner: | | Bal- | Date Considered: | 4-13-0 | 5 | |
|--|--|------|------------------|--------|---|--|
| EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in | | | | | | |

| Substitute for form 1449A/PTO (modified) | Application Number | 10/607698 | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| INFORMATION DISCLOSURE | Filing Date | June 27, 2003 | |
| | First Named Inventor | Free, M. Benton | |
| STATEMENT BY APPLICANT | Art Unit | | |
| (Use as many sheets as necessary) | Examiner Name | | |
| Page 2 of 2 | Attorney Case Number | . 58399US002 | |

| | | | Ser all |
|---|-------|-------------|--|
| | 1 | | OTHER PRIOR ART NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS |
| | Exam. | Cite No. | Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published |
| 4 | 16 | C1 | Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 13, "Estimation of the Surface Free Energy of Polymers", D.K.Owens and R.C.Wendt, pp. 1741-1747, 1969. |

*Examiner: Date C

Date Considered:

4/13/05

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO (modified)

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Use as many sheets as necessary)
NOV 0 5 2004 Page 1 of 1

| Application Number | 10/607698 |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| Filing Date | June 27, 2003 |
| First Named Inventor | Free, M. Benton |
| Art Unit | 1762 |
| Examiner Name | |
| Attorney Case Number | 58399US002 |

APR 2 1 2005 E

| U.S. Patent Documents | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Exam. Init.* | Cits No. | Document Number | Publication Date or Issue Date | Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document | Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant | |
| | | Doc. Number-(Kind Code if Known) | MM-DD-YYYY | | Figures Appear | |
| 10 | A1 | US- 3,532,540 | 10/06/70 · | Pearson et al. | | |
| 6 | A2 | US- 5,209,815 | 05/11/93 | Fleming et al. | | |
| | A3 | US- | | | | |
| | A4 | US- | | | | |
| | A5 | US- | | | | |
| | A6 | US- | | | | |
| | A7 | US- | | | | |
| | A8 | US- | | | | |
| | A9 | US- | | | | |
| | A10 | US- | | | | |
| | A11 | US- | | | | |

| Foreign Patent Documents | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Exam. Init.* | Cite No. | Foreign Patent Document | | Publication Date | Name of Patentee or | Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages | Translation (Check If yes) |
| | | Ctry. Code | Number-KindCode (if known) | MM-DD-YYYY | Applicant of Cited Document | or Relevant Figures Appear | (Crieck ir yes) |
| | B1_ | DE. | 3924716 | -02/01/90 | | | no |
| | B2 | | | | | | |
| | В3 | | | | | | ļ |
| | B4 | | | | | | |
| | B 5 | | | | | | |
| | B6 | | | | | | |
| | B7 | | • | 9 | | | |

| OTHER DOCUMENTS | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Exam, Init.* | Cite No. | Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published | Translation (Check if yes) | |
| | C1 | | | |
| | C2 | | | |
| | СЗ | | | |

| Examiner: | Date Considered: | 4-13-05 |
|-----------|------------------|---------|
| | | |

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.